

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,936	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Denise Tran	2189	

All Participants:

(1) Denise Tran.

(2) Carl Brundidge (Reg. 29,621).

Date of Interview: 15 September 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____


(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The applicant's representative, mr. Brundidge agreed to amend the claims as stated in the examiner amendment to place the application in a condition for allowance

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)